



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,281	03/26/2001	Bernard Agasse	11345.028001	3919
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 01/28/200 L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
1221 MCKINN		HOSSAIN, FARZANA E		
	SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
				•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/744,281	AGASSE, BERNARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Farzana E. Hossain	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	lovember 2007.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-20,22,23,27-38,51-59,61,65,76-87,110-114,116,119,127,131,135 and 141-144</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er er				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	ail Date nal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-11,13-20,22,23,27-38,51-59,61,65,76-87,110-114,116,119,127,131,135 and 141-144.

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is response to communications filed 5/23/2007. Claims 1-11, 13-20, 22, 23, 27-38, 51-59, 61, 65, 76-87, 110-114, 116, 119, 127, 131, 135 and 141-144 are pending. Claims 1, 5, 51, 58 and 141 are amended. Claims 2-4, 6-11, 13-20, 22, 23, 27-38, 52-57, 59, 61, 65, 76-87, 110-114, 116, 119, 127, 131, 135 and 142 have been previously presented. Claims 12, 21, 24-26, 39-50, 60, 62-64, 66-75, 88-109, 115, 117-118, 120-126, 128-130, 132-134 and 136-140 are cancelled. Claims 143 and 144 are new.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims 1-8, 15, 16, 28-30, 35, 38, 51-56, 76-78, 83, 86, 86, 111, 112, 114, 131, 135 and 141-144 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin et al (US 5,594,509) and hereafter referred to as "Florin") in view of Collings (US 5,828,402) and Ford (US 6,181,364).

Regarding Claims 1 and 51, Florin discloses a decoder and a method for controlling the display of a plurality of digital television channels in respective windows of a mosaic formation (Figures 33-35, Column 9, lines 30-39, Column 10, lines 53-59), wherein the decoder and method is configured to

receive at least one encrypted program or pay per view programs or premium programs (Column 9, lines 13-25, Figure 2, 66, Column 11, lines 30-32);

receive a mosaic comprising a plurality of unencrypted programs or general programming (Figures 33-35, Column 9, lines 8-20), including the at least one encrypted program in unencrypted form (Figure 33, Figure 34, Figure 35, 380);

display the mosaic (Figures 33-35);

receive a selection for the at least one encrypted program in unencrypted form in the mosaic (Figure 35, 380, 375); and

determine whether complete access rights exist for the selected program or using the descrambling circuitry to display the pay per view program from the preview (Figure 35);

wherein the decoder is configured to prohibit one of audio access or visual access to the selected program in the mosaic upon a determination that complete

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

access rights are not available for the corresponding at least one encrypted program or if the PIN number is not entered correctly the pay per view program is not displayed to the viewer and only the preview is displayed to the viewer as the program is not descrambled (Column 21, lines 33-54, Column 23, lines 27-55, Column 9, lines 13-25). Florin does not explicitly disclose that wherein the one of only audio access and visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time based upon determination that complete access rights are not available for corresponding at least one encrypted program. However, Florin is silent on the one of only audio access and visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time based upon determination that complete access rights are not available for corresponding at least one encrypted program.

In analogous art, Collings discloses a decoder configured to prohibit display of audio and visual access to a selected program upon determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding encrypted program or encoded with a code to block access to the entire program and access can be overridden with a PIN (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53). Collings is silent on prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access. In analogous art, Ford discloses the decoder is configured to prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access to the selected program upon a determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding at least one program, wherein the one of only audio access and only visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time or lead and delay time needed to detect and determine if audio or video is prohibited (Column 8, lines 8-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

invention was made to modify Florin to include a decoder configured to prohibit display of audio and visual access to a selected program upon determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding encrypted program or encoded with a code to block access to the entire program and access can be overridden with a PIN (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53) as taught by Collings in order improve blockings systems with different coding schemes (Column 2, lines 28-35) as disclosed by Collings. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include to prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access to the selected program upon a determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding at least one program, wherein the one of only audio access and only visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time or lead and delay time needed to detect and determine if audio or video is prohibited (Column 8, lines 8-64) as taught by Ford in order to improve censorship of programs for children and adults without having to miss entire program due to offensive content or entire video or audio of segments (Column 1, lines 13-55) as disclosed by Ford.

Regarding Claim 141, Florin a decoder for controlling the display of a plurality of digital television channels (Figures 33-35, Column 9, lines 30-39, Column 10, lines 53-59, Figure 2, 62), the decoder comprising:

means for receiving a first encrypted program and a second encrypted program or pay per view programs as there are multiple pay per view channels (Column 9, lines 13-25, Figure 2, 66, Column 11, lines 30-32);

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

means for displaying a mosaic comprising a plurality of unencrypted programs (Figures 33-35, Column 9, lines 8-20), including the first encrypted program and the second encrypted program in unencrypted form or multiple channels can be displayed in the mosaic including first and second pay per view channels displaying a first pay per view program and second pay per view program (Figures 33-35, Column 11, lines 30-32, Column 10, lines 53-59);

means for receiving a selection from a user for access to the first encrypted program displayed in unencrypted form in the mosaic or selecting the pay per view program (Figure 35, 375, 380); and

means for determining whether complete access rights exist for the selected first encrypted program using the descrambling circuitry to display the pay per view program from the preview (Figure 35);

wherein the decoder is configured to prohibit one of audio access and visual access to the unencrypted form of the selected first encrypted program displayed in the mosaic upon a determination that complete access rights are not available for the first encrypted program or if the PIN number is not entered correctly the pay per view program is not displayed to the viewer and only the preview is displayed to the viewer as the program is not descrambled (Column 21, lines 33-54, Column 23, lines 27-55, Column 9, lines 13-25). However, Florin is silent on the one of only audio access and visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time based upon determination that complete access rights are not available for corresponding at least one encrypted program. In analogous art, Collings discloses a decoder configured to

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

prohibit display of audio and visual access to a selected program upon determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding encrypted program or encoded with a code to block access to the entire program and access can be overridden with a PIN (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53). Collings is silent on prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access. In analogous art, Ford discloses the decoder is configured to prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access to the selected program upon a determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding at least one program, wherein the one of only audio access and only visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time or lead and delay time needed to detect and determine if audio or video is prohibited (Column 8, lines 8-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Florin to include a decoder configured to prohibit display of audio and visual access to a selected program upon determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding encrypted program or encoded with a code to block access to the entire program and access can be overridden with a PIN (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53) as taught by Collings in order improve blockings systems with different coding schemes (Column 2, lines 28-35) as disclosed by Collings. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include to prohibit one of only audio access and only visual access to the selected program upon a determination that complete access rights are not available for the corresponding at least one program, wherein the one of only audio

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

access and only visual access is prohibited after a predetermined length of time or lead and delay time needed to detect and determine if audio or video is prohibited (Column 8, lines 8-64) as taught by Ford in order to improve censorship of programs for children and adults without having to miss entire program due to offensive content or entire video or audio of segments (Column 1, lines 13-55) as disclosed by Ford.

Regarding Claims 2 and 52, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 and 51 respectively. Florin disclose that the decoder receives access rights together with the audiovisual data for creating the mosaic as the descrambling circuitry descrambles premium programs and programs are not descrambled until the user purchase the program with a PIN (Figure 35, Column 21, lines 33-54, Column 23, lines 27-55, Column 9, lines 13-25). Collings discloses that encrypted programs are received with access rights or codes determining access (Column 5, Table 1, Column 6, Table 2, Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 45-50).

Regarding Claim 3, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 2. Florin discloses the decoder is configured to issue a request for full audio and visual access to a one of a channel and a program displayed in a window (Figures 33, Column 20, lines 49-53, Column 9, lines 13-27, Column 11, lines 29-40). Collings discloses requesting full access by overriding the blocking function by a PIN (Column 12, lines 44-50).

Regarding Claims 4 and 53, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses decoder is configured to generate a cursor for display with the mosaic formation, the cursor being selectively movable over

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

the windows of the mosaic formation to enable selection of a desired window within the mosaic formation (Figures 33-35, 375, 380).

Regarding Claims 5 and 54, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 4 and 53 respectively. Florin discloses decoder if configured to generate audio information associated with a particular channel in response to the positioning of the cursor over the window displaying the particular channel (Column 20, lines 49-55).

Regarding Claims 6 and 55, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 5 and 54 respectively. Collings discloses that encrypted programs are received with access rights or codes determining access (Column 5, Table 1, Column 6, Table 2, Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 45-50). Ford discloses the decoder is configured to prohibit the generation of audio information according to the received access rights or substitution information (Column 8, lines 25-35).

Regarding Claims 7 and 56, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 6 and 55 respectively. Florin discloses displaying the mosaic and the access rights of the particular program allow for a preview and prohibit the generation audio of the program (Figure 35). Ford discloses predetermined length of time to be delay time and lead in time to determine substitution (Column 8, lines 25-35). Collings discloses prohibiting the generation of audio information or the entire program (Figures 5A-H and 6). It is well known in the art that the time audio is prohibited for program can be longer lead in time and delay time or predetermined length of time as there can be a long time in which language or other offensive content can take place and need to be blocked in order to not offend the viewer.

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 8, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 3. Florin discloses the decoder is arranged to issue a request when a cursor has been placed on that window (Figures 33-35, 380) to receive audio and video of the program by placing the cursor on the window after a predetermined period of time or immediately (Figures 33-34, 375, Column 20, lines 49-55).

Regarding Claims 15 and 111, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 4 and 53 respectively. Florin discloses turning the decoder to a channel displayed in the desired window upon selection of the desired window (Figures 33-35, Column 21, lines 16-32).

Regarding Claims 16 and 112, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 4 and 53 respectively. Florin discloses displaying comprising information regarding the program displayed in the desired window is generated upon selection of the desired window (Figure 38).

Regarding Claims 28 and 76, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 1 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses that the receiving means receive access rights from a remote control handset associated with the decoder (Column 23, lines 40-45). Collings discloses receiving means receive access rights from a remote control handset associated with the decoder (Column 12, 45-50, Figure 4).

Regarding Claims 29 and 77, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 28 and 76 respectively. Florin discloses the decoder is configured to receive a PIN number from the remote control wherein the decoder authenticates the received PIN number and upon authentication of the received PIN number, permits reception of

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

the access rights (Column 23, lines 40-55). Collings discloses receiving means receive access rights from a remote control handset associated with the decoder with a PIN (Column 12, 45-50, Figure 4). It is necessarily included that he PIN is authentication and upon authentication permits reception of the access rights or viewing an entire program.

Regarding Claims 30 and 78, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 1 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses that the generation of at least a portion of video information in the window is prohibited in dependence on the access rights to one of a program and a channel displayed in that window or that generation of the PPV channel is prohibited based on whether the user has ordered the movie or else only the preview is displayed (Figure 35). See rejection of claims 1 and 51.

Regarding Claims 35 and 83, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 30 and 78 respectively. Florin discloses means for controlling the display of further video information instead of the at least video information as only the programs that are ordered and authorized are displayed (Column 21, lines 40-53, Column 23, lines 40-55).

Regarding Claims 38 and 86, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses decoder is configured to positionally control the relative positions of the windows with the mosaic formation based on access rights to the programs displayed in the mosaic such as pay per view events (Column 21, lines 3-15, Column 22, lines 65-67, Column 23, lines 1-3).

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claims 87, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 86. See rejection of Claims 1, 38, 51 and 86.

Regarding Claim 114, Florin, Collings and Ford discloses all the limitations of Claim 53. Florin discloses that program guide display can be mosaic (Figures 33-35) and a forthcoming program schedule comprises a textual display of program schedule information (Figures 12-16).

Regarding Claims 131, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 86. Florin discloses positional control means for controlling the relative positions of the windows are controlled according to program characteristics of programs normally shown on the channels displayed in the windows (Column 22, lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 135, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 86. Florin discloses a positional control means is arranged to maintain a window displaying a particular channel and program in a constant position in the mosaic formation (Figures 27-29, 365, Figure 30, 325, Figures 33-35).

Regarding Claim 142, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 141. Florin discloses a mosaic displaying programs including the first encrypted program and the second encrypted program in unencrypted form or multiple channels can be displayed in the mosaic displaying a first pay per view program and second pay per view program (Figures 33-35, Column 11, lines 30-32, Column 10, lines 53-59) and determining whether a user has complete access to the second encrypted program based on the access rights associated with the user based on the user ordering the program (Figure 35, 380) and means for providing complete audio and visual access to

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

the user to the unencrypted form of the second encrypted program, when access rights associated with the user are received for the second encrypted program (Column 23, lines 40-55). Collings discloses means for determining whether a user is permitted complete access to the second encrypted program based on the access rights associated with the user as complete access rights are not available for the corresponding encrypted program or encoded with a code to block access to the entire program and access can be overridden with a PIN (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53, Column 5, Table 1, Column 6, Table 2, Column 10, lines 23-45) and means for providing complete audio and visual access to the user to the unencrypted form of the second encrypted program when access rights associated wit the user are received for the second encrypted program (Column 9, lines 11-37, Column 12, lines 44-53). Ford discloses permitting one of only audio access and only visual access to the version of encoded program that can be viewed by an unrestricted viewer of an encoded program when the user is not permitted complete access rights of the selected program (Column 8, lines 8-64).

Regarding Claims 143 and 144, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses a mosaic (Figure 33). Ford discloses a lead in time or delay time to detect substitution codes to determine access rights and to prohibit audio and/or video access. Collings disclose that the selected program in the mosaic is completely blacked out by the receiver when access rights are not received or if the PIN is not entered the program is blacked (Column 12, lines 44-50).

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

5. Claims 9, 10, 57, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 4 and 53 above, and further in view of Berstis et al (US 5,874,936 and hereafter referred to as "Berstis").

Regarding Claims 9 and 57, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 4 and 53 respectively. Florin discloses that premium programs are descrambled by the descrambling circuitry based on access rights (Column 9, lines 13-27. Column 11. lines 29-40). Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on means for automatically re-positioning the cursor in the event that the cursor is placed over the window displaying a program or channel to which full audio and visual access is prohibited. Berstis discloses means for automatically re-positioning the cursor in the event that the cursor is placed over the window that is not active or not accessible after performing a check to determine if the window is opened (Column 3, lines 5-39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a means for automatically repositioning the cursor in the event that the cursor is placed over the window that is not active after a predetermined period of time (Column 3, lines 5-39) as taught by Berstis in order to allow the user to navigate through multiple open windows for convenience to the user (Column 1, lines 21-60 as disclosed by Berstis.

Regarding Claims 10 and 58, Florin, Collings, Ford and Berstis disclose all the limitations of Claims 9 and 57 respectively. Florin discloses the mosaic (Figures 33-35).

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

Berstis discloses repositioning the cursor after the expiration of a predetermined time or immediately (Column 3, lines 5-33).

6. Claims 11, 13, 59 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 4 and 53 above, and further in view of Hanaya et al (US 2003/0101452 and hereafter referred to as "Hanaya").

Regarding Claims 11 and 59, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 4 and 53 respectively. Florin discloses a cursor (Figures 33-35, 375, 380). Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on means for changing an attribute of the cursor depending on the characteristic of at least one of a program and a channel displayed in a window over which the cursor is positioned. Hanaya discloses a system for displaying a plurality of channels and programs in respective windows (Figure 19). Hanaya discloses a system for displaying a plurality of channels and programs in respective windows (Figure 19). Hanaya discloses means for changing an attribute of the cursor depending on the characteristic of at least one of a program and a channel displayed in a window over which the cursor is positioned (Page 9, paragraph 0147). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include means for changing an attribute of the cursor depending on the characteristic of at least one of a program and a channel displayed in a window over which the cursor is positioned such as the cursor is changed to different

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

broadcast channel (Page 9, paragraph 0147) as taught by Hanaya in order to make it easier and more convenient for a user to view the programs selected or highlighted.

Regarding Claims 13 and 61, Florin, Collings, Ford and Hanaya disclose all the limitations of Claims 11 and 59 respectively. Florin discloses a cursor (Figures 33-35, 380). Hanaya discloses that the programs are accessed via highlights or colors or changing the color of the cursor depending on the characteristic of the program (Page 9, paragraph 0147).

7. Claims 14 and 110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collins, Ford and Hanaya as applied to claims 11, 59 above, and further in view of Young et al (US 5,809,204 and hereafter referred to as "Young").

Regarding Claim 14 and 110, Florin, Collings, Ford and Hanaya disclose all the limitations of Claims 11 and 59 respectively. Hanaya discloses selecting programs via the channel (Page 9, paragraph 0147). Florin, Florin, Collings and Ford and Hanaya are silent on assigning the characteristic from a remote control handset associated with the decoder and means for assigning the characteristic in response to the received data. Young discloses means for receiving data for assigning the characteristic from a remote control handset associated with the decoder (Figure 20) and means for assigning the characteristic in response to the received data (Figure 20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include means for receiving data for assigning the characteristic from a remote control handset associated with the decoder (Figure 20)

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

and means for assigning the characteristic in response to the received data (Figure 20) as taught by Young in order to allow easier access for program listings to record on a VCR including future times (Column 1, lines 13-25) as disclosed by Young.

8. Claims 17, 18, 65, 119 and 127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collins and Ford as applied to claims 1, 16 and 51 above, and further in view of Niijima et al (US 5,903,314 and hereafter referred to as "Niijima").

Regarding Claims 17, 18 and 65, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 1, 16 and 51 respectively. Florin discloses generating a cursor for display with the mosaic formation (Figures 33-35, 380), the cursor being selectively movable over the windows of the mosaic formation to enable selection of a desired window within the mosaic formation (Figure 33-35, 380), and means for generating a display comprising information regarding the program displayed in the desired window upon selection of the desired window (Figures 33-35, 375, 380). Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on receiving the data by communicating with a communications center to obtain the information regarding the program displayed in the desired window. In analogous art, Niijima discloses a decoder (Figure 8, 2) for controlling the display of digital TV channels in respective windows of a mosaic formation (Column 2, lines 49-57, Figure 8, Figure 28, Figure 5, Figure 7, Figure 11), the decoder comprising means for generating a cursor for display with the mosaic formation (Figure 5,201, Figure 20, 201), the cursor being selectively movable over the windows of the mosaic formation to

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

enable selection of a desired window within the mosaic formation (Figure 5, 201, Figure 20,201), and means for generating a display comprising information regarding the program displayed in the desired window upon selection of the desired window (Column 17, lines 4-23). Niijima discloses that the user can select and program and transmit to the communications center the request for information about the program or the program, the audio of the program regarding the program displayed in the desired window, data of a program (Column 32, lines 55-67, Column 33, lines 1-29, Figure 27, 311, Figure 28, 311, 323).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include the transmit to the communications center the request for information about the program or the program, the audio of the program regarding the program displayed in the desired window, data of a program (Column 32, lines 55-67, Column 33, lines 1-29, Figure 27, 311, Figure 28, 311,323) as taught by Niijima in order to provide the most up to date information to the user and to maintain storage capacity on the receiver side.

Regarding Claim 119, Florin, Collings, Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 65. Florin discloses that the generation of at least a portion of video information in the window is prohibited in dependence on the access rights to one of a program and a channel displayed in that window or that generation of the PPV channel is prohibited based on whether the user has ordered the movie or else only the preview is displayed (Figure 35).

Regarding Claim 127, Florin, Collings, Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 86. Florin, Collings, Ford are silent of the relative positions of windows of the mosaic information are controlled in response to received positioning data for controlling relative positions of windows within mosaic formation. Niijima discloses relative positions of windows of the mosaic information are controlled in response to received positioning data for controlling relative positions of windows within mosaic formation (Column 20, lines 37-46, Column 2, lines 48-67, Column 3, lines 1-14, Column 6, lines 35-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include the transmit to the communications center the request for information about the program or the program, the audio of the program regarding the program displayed in the desired window, data of a program (Column 32, lines 55-67, Column 33, lines 1-29, Figure 27, 311, Figure 28, 311,323) as taught by Niijima in order to provide the user with an array of positions of the mosaic so that the user can customize the screen if the user chooses (Column 3, lines 1-26) as disclosed by Niijima.

9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collins, Ford and Niijima as applied to claims 18 above, and further in view of Townsend et al (WO 96/37996 and hereafter referred to as "Townsend").

Regarding Claim 19, Florin, Collings, Ford and Niijima disclose all the limitations of Claim 18. Florin, Collings, Ford and Niijima are silent on means for dialing up the communications to supply a request for information regarding the program. Townsend

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

discloses means for dialing up the communications center to supply a request for the information regarding the program displayed in the desired window (Figure 1, 7, Figure 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include means for dialing up the communications center to supply a request for the information regarding the program displayed in the desired window (Figure 1, 7, Figure 12) as taught by Townsend in order to simplify user control and to make the control more user friendly (Page 5, lines 2-7) as disclosed by Townsend.

10. Claims 20, 22 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 4 and 53 above, and further in view of Young.

Regarding Claims 20 and 113, Florin, Collings, Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 4 and 53 respectively. Florin displays EPG and selection of time (Figures 12-16). Florin, Collings, Ford are silent on means for generating a display comprising a schedule with forthcoming programs of at least one digital TV channel in respective windows. Young discloses a means for generating a display comprising a schedule with forthcoming programs of at least one digital TV channel in respective windows or a displaying of forthcoming program schedule for the channel displayed in the desired window or cell (Figure 7, 58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include means for generating a display comprising a forthcoming program schedule for the

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

channel displayed in the desired window upon selection upon selection of the desired window (Figure 7, 58) as taught by Young in order to allow easier access for program listings to record on a VCR including future times (Column 1, lines 13-25) as disclosed by Young.

Regarding Claim 22, Florin, Collings, Ford and Young discloses all the limitations of Claim 20. Florin discloses that program guide display can be mosaic (Figures 33-35) and a display with textual display of program schedule information (Figures 12-16). Young discloses that the forthcoming program schedule which is in a textual display (Figure 7).

11. Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings, Ford and Young as applied to claims 20 above, and further in view of Matthews, III (US 5,815,145 and hereafter referred to as "Matthews").

Regarding Claim 23, Florin, Collings, Ford and Young discloses all the limitations of Claim 20. Florin discloses that program guide display can be mosaic with pictorial images of program schedule information (Figure 5, Figure 20). Young discloses that the forthcoming program schedule (Figure 7). Florin, Collings, Ford and Young are silent on a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of mosaic information.

Matthews discloses a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic formation (Figure 4, Column 6, lines 35-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic information (Figure 4, Column 6, lines 35-63) as taught by Matthews in order to provide the user with videos of all programs in an EPG (Column 6, lines 35-63) for convenience (Column 2, lines 33-40) as disclosed by Matthews as well as aesthetically pleasing to the users so that they can decide on future programs as well.

Regarding Claim 27, Florin, Collings, Ford, Young and Matthews disclose all the limitations of Claim 23. Matthews discloses that the plurality of pictorial images comprises video footage (Figure 4).

12. Claims 31, 33, 36, 56, 79, 84 and 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 30 and 78 above, and further in view of Matthews.

Regarding Claims 31 and 79, Florin, Collings, Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 30 and 78. Florin, Collings, Ford are silent on a picture is displayed in the window instead of at least the portion of video information. In analogous art, Matthews discloses a picture is displayed in the window instead of at least the portion of video information (Column 6, lines 38-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic information (Figure

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

4, Column 6, lines 35-63) as taught by Matthews in order to provide the user with preview media information of all programs in an EPG (Column 6, lines 35-63) for convenience (Column 2, lines 33-40) as disclosed by Matthews as well as aesthetically pleasing to the users so that they can decide on future programs as well as videos may not be available.

Regarding Claim 33, Florin, Collings, Ford and Matthews disclose all the limitations of Claim 31. Matthews discloses the picture comprises an image associated with the program displayed in the window (Column 6, lines 38-44).

Regarding Claims 36 and 84, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 35 and 83 respectively. Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on that the further video information is promotional video information or preview information. Matthews discloses the further video information is promotional video information or preview information (Column 6, lines 38-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include further video information is promotional video information or preview information (Column 6, lines 38-63) as taught by Matthews in order to provide the user with preview media information of all programs in an EPG (Column 6, lines 35-63) for convenience (Column 2, lines 33-40) as disclosed by Matthews as well as aesthetically pleasing to the users so that they can decide on future programs as well as videos may not be available.

Regarding Claim 116, Florin, Collings and Ford discloses all the limitations of Claim 53. Florin discloses that program guide display can be mosaic with pictorial

09/744,281 Art Unit: 2623

images of program schedule information and forthcoming schedule (Figures 12-16) as the user can select the time by scrolling (Figure 16). Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic formation.

Matthews discloses a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic formation (Figure 4, Column 6, lines 35-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a forthcoming schedule displays plurality of pictorial images associated with respective forthcoming programs in respective windows of a mosaic information (Figure 4, Column 6, lines 35-63) as taught by Matthews in order to provide the user with videos of all programs in an EPG (Column 6, lines 35-63) for convenience (Column 2, lines 33-40) as disclosed by Matthews as well as aesthetically pleasing to the users so that they can decide on future programs as well.

13. Claims 32, 80 and 81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings, Ford and Matthews as applied to claims 31 and 79 above, and further in view of Morales (US 5,663,757).

Regarding Claims 32 and 80, Florin, Collings, Ford and Matthews disclose all the limitations of Claims 31 and 79 respectively. Florin, Collings, Ford and Matthews are silent on logos of channels. Morales discloses that a picture comprise a logo associated with a channel displayed in the window (Figure 3, 10). Therefore, it would have been

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a picture comprising a logo associated with a channel displayed in the window (Figure 3, 10) as taught by Morales in order to provide the user with easier channel selection as the TV networks may have different channels in area counties or an out of town visitor in a hotel (Column 5, lines 10-25) as disclosed by Morales.

Regarding Claim 81, Florin, Collings and Ford, Matthews and Morales disclose all the limitations of Claim 79. Matthews discloses the picture comprises an image associated with the program displayed in the window (Column 6, lines 38-44).

14. Claims 34, 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 30 and 78 above, and further in view of Balakrishnan et al (US 2001/0052135 and hereafter referred to as "Balak").

Regarding Claims 34 and 82, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claim 30 and 78 respectively. Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on an advertisement. Balak discloses that advertisements can be seen in a mosaic formation (Page 2, paragraph 0018). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include means for controlling the display of an advertisement in the window instead of a video information (Page 2, paragraph 0018) as taught by Balak in order to provide users target commercials of their own choosing (Page 1, paragraphs 0001-0003) as disclosed by Balak.

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

15. Claims 37 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florin in view of Collings and Ford as applied to claims 1 and 51 above, and further in view of Kahn (US 5,978,649).

Regarding Claim 37 and 85, Florin, Collings and Ford disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 and 51 respectively. Florin, Collings and Ford are silent on generating a message due to lack of access rights when a cursor is on a channel. Kahn discloses means to generating message information a user of the access rights of a channel in the event of placing a cursor on the channel on the EPG (Column 7, lines 42-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination to include a means to generating a message information a user of the access rights of a channel in the event of placing a cursor on the channel on the EPG (Column 7, lines 42-56) as taught by Kahn in order to control channel authorization in case such as PPV channels or movies with access rights (Column 1, lines 26-31) as disclosed by Kahn.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farzana E. Hossain whose telephone number is 571-272-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

09/744,281

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FEH January 17, 2008

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600